White v. Nuclear Generation Group, 2001-ERA-22 (ALJ Sept. 26, 2001)

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges
John W. McCormack Post Office & Courthouse - Room

507

Post Office Square Boston, MA 02109

(617) 223-9355 (617) 223-4254 (FAX)

Issue date: 26Sep2001

CASE NO.: 2001-ERA-00022

IN THE MATTER OF:

JOHN F. WHITE

Complainant

V.

NUCLEAR GENERATION GROUP

Respondent

RECOMMENDED DECISION AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE

This is a proceeding arising under the Energy Reorganization Act (ERA), 42 U.S.C. § 5851, and its implementing regulations found at 20 C.F.R. Part 24. The parties have signed a Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement, Dismissal With Prejudice, and Confidential Treatment of Settlement Agreement and attached a fully executed Settlement Agreement. Since the request for dismissal is based on an agreement entered into by the parties, it is necessary to review it to determine whether the terms are a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of the complaint. See 29 C.F.R. § 24.6. See also McDowell v. Doyon Drilling Services, Ltd., 1996-TSC-8 (ARB May 19, 1997); Darr v. Precise Hard Chrome, 1995-CAA-6 (Sec'y May 9, 1995); McGlynn v. Pulsair, Inc., 1993-CAA-2 (Sec'y June 8, 1993); Hoffman v. Fuel Economy Contracting, 1987-ERA-33 (Sec'y Aug. 4, 1989); Milewski v. Kansas Gas & Electric Co., 1985-ERA-21 (Sec'y Jan 15, 1988)(order), aff'd on recon, (Sec'y Apr. 23, 1990)(order); Glass v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, ARB No. 96-153, ALJ No. 1995-CAA-16 (ARB July 19, 1996); Beliveau v. Naval Undersea Warfare Center, ARB nos. 00-073, 01-017, 01-019, ALJ Nos. 1997-SDW-1, 4 and 6 (ARB Nov. 30, 2000).



The parties jointly request that this Settlement Agreement and its existence be treated as confidential, in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 70.26.

[Page 2]

After carefully reviewing the motion and settlement agreement, I find and conclude that the agreement is a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of the complaint and in the public interest.

Accordingly, it is hereby **RECOMMENDED** that the settlement agreement between the Complainant John F. White and Respondent Indiana Michigan Power Company be **APPROVED** and that the matter be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is **FURTHER RECOMMENDED** that the Settlement Agreement be designated as confidential commercial information to be handled in accordance with 29 C.F.R. Part 70.26.

DAVID W. DI NARDI

District Chief Judge

Boston, Massachusetts

DWD:jal

NOTICE: This Recommended Decision and order will automatically become the final order of the Secretary unless, pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §§ 24.8, a petition for review is timely filed with the Administrative review Board, United States Department of Labor, Room S-4309, Frances Perkins Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210. Such a petition for review must be received by the Administrative Review Board within ten business days of the date of this Recommended Decision and Order, and shall be served on all parties and on the Chief Administrative Law Judge. **See** 29 C.F.R. §§ 24.7(d) and 24.8.